







Health Assessment of Levees Using Remote Sensing and Field Monitoring

M. Zeghal, T. Abdoun, B. Yazici (Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute) A. Marr (Geocomp)

International Workshop on Remote Sensing for Disaster Response September 15-16, 2011



Acknowledgements

Four-Year Project: Development of a Multiscale Monitoring and Health Assessment Framework for Effective Management of Levees and Flood-Control Infrastructure Systems-TIP supported

Joint Venture

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (M. Zeghal, T. Abdoun, B. Yazici)Geocomp (A. Marr)

Overview

- Introduction
- Vision and project overview
- Remote sensing (InSAR)
- Field Monitoring
- Multi-scale identification and health assessment
- Concluding remarks

Introduction

 Integrity and reliability of flood-control infrastructure (levees, earthen dams, etc.) essential components of homeland safety

- Aging and deteriorating flood-control infrastructure:
 - ASCE's 2009 Report Card: a grade of D to dams and a grade of D⁻ to levees

Motivation



Health Assessment: Current State-of-the-Practice

- Levee health assessed based on visual inspection
 - Primarily periodic site visits (monthly to annually and more)
 - Surface information (incomplete and mostly qualitative
 - Focus on components
- Provides
 - Limited damage or weakness detection capability
 - Inconclusive health assessment
 - Limited predictability of overall system performance



Vision



Vision

Sensor-Aided Model-Based Approach:

- Monitoring:
 - Global: Remote sensing (InSAR)
 - Local: Shape-Acceleration-Pore Pressure Array
 - Bridging: GPS
- Health Assessment
 - Multi-scale (global, intermediate and local)
 model-based framework

Remote Sensing

Objectives:

- Monitor large areas of levee system (10s of sq. kms)
 - Obtain few meters/pixel resolution for observed area
- Estimate deformation in levee structures with millimeter accuracy
 - <u>Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR)</u>
- Estimate near surface moisture content
 - <u>Polarimetric Synthetic Aperture Radar (PolSAR)</u>

SAR: Synthetic Aperture Radar

 Large Synthetic antenna obtained using history of radar echoes generated during spacecraft forward motion SAR Antenna



Differenctial InSAR: DInSAR

Generates (using 2 or more SAR Interference images):



Image courtesy H. Zebker

- digital elevation maps
- surface deformation



Radar Satellites



SAR Imaging Modes

- Strip map
 - "Average" coverage area
- Scan SAR
 - Increased swath width
 - Reduced resolution and signal-to-noise ratio
- Spotlight
 - Reduced swath width
 - Increased resolution



TerraSAR-X (9.67 GHz)

• SpotLight:

1.8m x 3.4m resolution scene size 10 km (width) x 5 km (length)

• StripMap:

3.5m x 8.0m resolution scene size 30 km (width) x 50 km (length)

• ScanSAR:

18m x 18m resolution scene size 100 km (width) x 150 km (length) **"Sampling rate" every 11 days**



PSInSAR to address Challenges in DInSAR

PSInSAR (persistent scatter) used to address lack of coherence due to:

- Geometric decorrelation Satellite must be as close as possible to the same orbital position when images are acquired over time
- **Temporal decorrelation** Movement of scatterers or temporal change in the dielectric properties
 - Vegetation growth
 - Change in soil moisture, snow cover, etc.
- Atmospheric effects dispersion
 - Change in temperature, pressure, water vapor
- Sparsity of temporal data

Coherence

DInSAR



Average value

0.5~0.6

0.2

PSInSAR



0.85~0.9

Coherence map: TSX data

0.9

Elevation and Displacement Rate



Elevation (m)

Rate of subsidence (mm/year)₁₇

Settlement Rate



column, line: 544 142 image RGB: 116 133 45 point index: 187 col: 544 line: 143



height (m): 7.636 deformation rate (mm/y): -5.674 deformation rate uncertainty (mm/y): 0.681

Settlement Rate



TerraSAR-XStripmap 2009March13 - 2010October28 19 images

Improving Accuracy and Space Resolution

Reflectors: improves signal intensity







Field Instrumentation



•Shape acceleration pore pressure (SAP) array

- -- Higher resolution
- -- Higher sampling rate (seconds to minutes)
- •GPS array
 - -- Higher sampling rate (daily to few hours)
 - -- cost effective (~ \$1500)



Health Assessment



Health Assessment Rationale

- Calibrated baseline levee model
 - a priori information
- Updated levee models
 - baseline model
 - new measurements
- Evaluation of health status and identification of damage (if any)
 - discrepancies between baseline and updated models
 - other information

Global-Scale Health Assessment



$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{\tau}}{\partial s} - \mathbf{q}^{\text{ext}} = \mathbf{0}$$

 $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$

 \mathbf{q}^{ext} : All external loads

- Fine global analysis:
- Spotlight InSAR measurements
- Neural network and 3D simple models
- Location of displacement estimated using InSAR
- GPS sensor location

Intermediate Analysis:

- Spotlight mode InSAR measurements
- GPS measurements (higher sampling rate)
- 2D refined model of critical section



- Localization
 - Neural networks
- Parameter Identification
 - Localization used to constrain geometry of possible weak zones.
 - Optimization algorithms used to identify "geometry" of weak zones and to quantify associated stiffness properties.
- Health assessment
 - Based on in internal (strain) energy of weak zone(s)

Localization

Neural networks trained to identify possible locations of weak zones given surface displacements/deformation



Observed displacements Location of weak zone

Localization Results (Example)





Localization Results

0.5 mm uncertainty in displacement readings

Σ	
680	
ate	
о р	
ifie	
enti	

σ

1

2

3

4

5

6

Correct classifications for Independent Test: 86%

correct classifications for displacements > 2 mm: 93.5%

94	2	2	1	0	0	94.9%
13.1%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%
8	109	22	0	0	3	76.8%
1.1%	15.1%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	23.2%
10	9	91	8	6	1	72.8%
1.4%	1.3%	12.6%	1.1%	0.8%	0.1%	27.2%
7	0	3	107	2	1	89.2%
1.0%	0.0%	0.4%	14.9%	0.3%	0.1%	10.8%
0	0	0	4	111	2	94.9%
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	15.4%	0.3%	5.1%
1	0	2	0	1	113	96.6%
0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	15.7%	3.4%
78.3%	90.8%	75.8%	89.2%	92.5%	94.2%	86.8%
21.7%	9.2%	24.2%	10.8%	7.5%	5.8%	13.2%
1	2	3	4	5	6	

Actual Category

Identification of stiffness parameters



Location of InSAR displacement
 O GPS sensor location



Energy-based Safety Assessment



33

Health Assessment: Quantification

Quantification based on progression of:

- Degradation of stiffness and strength parameters
- Weakened zones and associated energy

Local-Scale Health Assessment

CMP-Control Motion Approach: prescribed motion at all sensor "node" locations (Elmekati and Zeghal)

CMP-Finite Element formulation



Concluding Remarks

Health assessment framework:

- Sensing tools
 - Remote sensing
 - SAP
 - GPS
- Local-Intermediate-Global health assessment
 - Provides an evaluation of levee condition
 - Provides amble time to implement required repairs before major events (hurricanes, floods, ...)
 - Enables resilient of flood control levee systems (lower risk of having a catastrophic failure)
- Provides an automated monitoring and data collection program that could be used to organize and implement a rehabilitation program.

Questions?

